| Court Case | Date | Basic Concepts | Judgment |
|---|---------------|---|---|
| Morgan vs. VirginiaSupreme Court Case | 1946 | -Segregation on Bus Transportation - In the spring of 1946, Irene Morgan, a black woman, boarded a bus in Virginia to go to Baltimore, Maryland. She was ordered to sit in the back of the bus, as Virginia state law required. She objected, saying that since the bus was an interstate bus, the Virginia law did not apply. Morgan was arrested and fined ten dollars. | Racial segregation on inter- state transport illegal under commerce clause |
| Brown vs. Board of EducationTopeka I &IISupreme Court Case | 1954 and 1955 | -Racial Segregation in public schools - This case is a consolidation of several different cases from Kansas, South Carolina, Virginia, and Delaware. Several black children (through their legal representatives, Ps) sought admission to public schools that required or permitted segregation based on race. The plaintiffs alleged that segregation was unconstitutional under the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment -Plessy vs. Ferguson 1896, permitted separate but equal public facilities | -Declared that separate educational facilities were unequal under the law -State schools to be integrated , the second 'with all deliberate speed' NB led to Southern manifesto 1956 by US Congressmen against Supreme Court's abuse of power |
| Browder vs. GayleNB US District Court, Not Supreme Court Case | 1956 | -Segregation Laws -The initial demand of the protest was to improve seating conditions on city line buses for black passengers | Following the Montgomery bus boycott, the District ruled that desegregation of buses in Montgomery and Alabama violated the 14 th amendment of the constitution |
| Cooper vs. Aaron | 1958 | The Arkansas governor and state legislature were displeased with the Supreme Court's decision in Brown v. Board of Education and refused to comply with court orders to implement desegregation of the state's schools. The governor and legislature insisted that state government officials had no duty to comply with court orders based on the Supreme Court's interpretation of the constitution | US law superior to State laws [which had to be passed to postpone school integration] |

| Boynton vs. Virginia | 1960 | Racial segregation of a African | Racial segregation on public |
|----------------------------|------|--|-----------------------------------|
| boyinton vs. viigiina | 1300 | American law student trespassing | transportation illegal [which |
| | | in a 'whites only' restaurant in a | led to the Freedom Rides] |
| | | bus terminal | led to the Freedom Kidesj |
| Heart of Atlanta Motel vs. | 1964 | -Heart of Atlanta Motel refused | Civil Dights Act 1064 was |
| | 1904 | | -Civil Rights Act 1964 was |
| United States | | to rent rooms to black patrons | constitutional |
| | | -The owner sued arguing that the | -State Laws against interracial |
| | | requirements of the act exceeded | marriages were |
| | | the authority granted to congress | unconstitutional |
| | | over interstate commerce; in | |
| | | addition the owner argued that it | |
| | | violated the 5 th amendment | |
| | | rights to choose his customers | |
| | | and run his business as he | |
| | | wishes. | |
| Alexander vs. Holmes | 1969 | The Court of Appeals entered an | Segregated Southern schools |
| County | | order requiring the submission of | must be desegregated |
| | | new plans to be effective this fall | immediately |
| | | to a ccelerateDesegregation in 33 | |
| | | Mississippi school districts | |
| Hernandez vs. Texas | 1954 | -Pedro Hernandez, a Mexican | Mexican Americans and all |
| | | agricultural worker, was | other racial groups had equal |
| | | convicted for the murder of Joe | protection under 14 th |
| | | Espinosa. Hernandez's legal team | Amendment in addition to |
| | | set out to demonstrate that the | African Americans |
| | | jury could not be impartial unless | |
| | | members of non-Caucasian races | |
| | | were allowed on the jury- | |
| | | selecting committees- The | |
| | | ultimate impact of this ruling was | |
| | | that now all racial groups of the | |
| | | United States were protected | |
| | | under the 14th Amendment | |
| Talton vs. Mayes | 1896 | -The crime of murder committed | -US bill of Rights did not apply |
| • | | by one Cherokee Indian upon the | to tribal governments |
| | | person of another within the | various judgments by US |
| | | jurisdiction of the Cherokee | federal courtsbut not the |
| | | nation | Supreme Court—in the 1950s |
| | | -The 5 th Amendment to the | and 1960s critical of tribal |
| | | Constitution does not apply to | courts caused Congress to |
| | | local legislation of the Cherokee | pass the Indian Civil Rights Act |
| | | nation, so as to require all | in 1968 |
| | | prosecutions for offenses | -Desegregation of education |
| | | committed against the laws of | and communal facilities, the |
| | | that nation to be initiated by a | Voting Rights Act (1964) and |
| | | grand jury in accordance with the | Civil Rights Act (1965) |
| | | provision of that amendment. | J (== == , |
| | | | |
| | L | | <u>l</u> |